

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,634	03/10/2004	Jeffrey Eastman	D-1221 R6	6500	
28995	7590 01/24/2006		EXAM	· EXAMINER	
RALPH E. JOCKE walker & jocke LPA			FRECH, KARL D		
231 SOUTH BROADWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEDINA, OH 44256			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- y -				
	10/797,634	EASTMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karl D. Frech	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	 s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		3				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,634

Art Unit: 2876

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee 2003/0121973 in view of Mariotti 1,924,365. Lee discloses an ATM machine with a casing 10 with an interior portion, i.e. reservoir [0027], and a lockable door 20 with a sliding portion 23 [0029]. It is disclosed that there is means to discharge media from the ATM. Although not specifically disclosed, Official Notice is taken that means to allow

Page 3

media to be input into an ATM is old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a media input means in the ATM of Lee to allow for customer deposits. Lee does not disclose that there is a key locking mechanism. Mariotti discloses a housing with an interior portion, reservoir, and a sliding door. The door is locked by means of a key. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a key lock on the ATM of Lee. This would provide for a positive mechanical lock on the door hindering any attempt to physically pry open the door.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech

Application/Control Number: 10/797,634

Art Unit: 2876

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 Page 4
